

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/990,611	11/21/2001	Lorraine Faxon Meisner	121753-1005	4194	
7590 12/19/2005		•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Winstead Sechrest & Minick PC			CHOI, FI	CHOI, FRANK I	
P O Box 50748			ARTIBUT	DARCH MIR COCK	
1201 Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75250-0784			1616		

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Office Action(5/9/2005) Claims 2,9,13,14,19,20,22,26 are cancelled.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/990,611	MEISNER, LORRAINE FAXON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frank I. Choi	1616		

		Trank I. Chu	1010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence add	dress
The	e reply filed <u>01 December 2005</u> is acknowledged.			
1. 🗀	The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered bed		sion by the Board	of Patent
	<ul> <li>a.</li></ul>	pendent claims into independent	form (no limitatior	
	b. The affidavit or other evidence is not time See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).	ely filed before the filing of an appe	eal brief.	
2. 🗆	☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed w 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate)			
	Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	1.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences for furt	aminer's answer vither consideration	written in of rejection
3. 🗵	☑ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status	of the claims after entry is below o	or attached.	
<b>₄</b> ∇	7 Other: Claims 1 3-8 10-12 15-18 21 23-25 remain	nending and are rejected for the r	easons set forth i	n the prior

-ABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER